

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	IO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/891,849 06/25/2001		Steven Verhaverbeke	004711/P1	4749			
32588	7590	03/22/2006		EXAMINER			
APPLIED 1 2881 SCOT		•	MARKOFF, ALEXANDER				
SANTA CL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			1746			

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/891,849		VERHAVERBEKE ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Alexander Marko		1746	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	HE MAILING DA- isions of 37 CFR 1.136 communication. um statutory period will r reply will, by statute, conths after the mailing d	TE OF THIS CC (a). In no event, howe I apply and will expire ause the application to	OMMUNICATION over, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>27 Dec</u>	<u>cember 2005</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-fina	al.		
3) Since this application is in condi	tion for allowand	ce except for for	mal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle, 1	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-16,18,19,22-25,4</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>208-22</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-16,18,19,22-25,4</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to see the subject to results of the subj	<u>20</u> is/are withdra 1 <u>5,46 and 52</u> is/a 10.	wn from considence rejected.	eration.	cation.	
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is object.	/are: a) ☐ accept objection to the druding the correction	oted or b) obj rawing(s) be held on is required if the	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies of the Interrection from the Interrection * See the attached detailed Office and	of: prity documents prity documents bies of the priorit national Bureau	have been rece have been rece y documents ha (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application ive been receiver (a)).	on No Id in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/05.		5) 🔲	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		ı-152)

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/27/05 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the applicants allegedly amended the claims 208-220 to contain only limitations of previously presented and considered claims was considered. The traversal is not found persuasive because claims 208-220 comprise the limitations, which are not required by previously examined claims and the previously examined claims comprise the limitations, which are not required by claims 208-220.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1746

2. Claims 1-3, 5-16, 18, 19, 22-25, 45, 46 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

This rejection made in the previous Office action is maintained for the reason of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. The rejections made in the previous Office actions are maintained for the reasons of record. These rejections are repeated below.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 15, 18 and 22-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bergman et al (US Patent No 5,235,995).

Bergman et al teach an apparatus as claimed. The apparatus is capable of performing the claimed functions. See entire document, especially Figure 1 and the related description, and columns 8-17.

6. Claims 1-3, 5 8-15, 18, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Busnaina (WO 0021692).

Busnaina teaches an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially Figures 2, 3 and the related description.

7. The following new rejection is added.

Art Unit: 1746

8. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 15, 19, 22-25, 45, 46 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lorimer (Us Patent No 6460,552).

Lorimer teaches an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially Figures, 4, 6, 7a and the related description and columns 9-12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 10. The rejections made under 35 USC 103 in the previous Office actions are maintained for the reasons of record.
- 11. Claims 12-14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorimer in view of Busnaina.

Lorimer teaches an apparatus as claimed except for specific disclosure of the operation frequencies of the ultrasonic transducers and the disclosure of the surface area of the transducers relative to the surface of the wafer.

Busnaina teaches that the claimed frequencies and ratios between the surface of transducers and wafers were known and teach such frequencies and ratios as preferred for providing efficient cleaning.

It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Lorimer with transducers having frequencies and area as recommended by Busnaina in order to achieve adequate cleaning in a relatively short time.

Art Unit: 1746

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

The applicants again argue the way the energy is applied in the apparatus. The

argument again not found persuasive because the structure of the apparatus of the prior

art or modified apparatus of the prior art would be the same as the structure of the

claimed apparatus. The apparatus of the prior art or modified apparatus of the prior art

would be fully capable of holding the wafer as claimed. The instant claims are directed

to the apparatus, not a method. In order to show the difference between the prior art

and the invention the claim should be limited by recitation of the structure.

With respect to the rejection made under 35 USC 112(2) the applicants state that

they amended the claims to more particularly point and distinctly claim the subject

matter of the invention. This is not persuasive because the amendment made does not

obviate the deficiency of the claims indicated in the rejection. The rejection is

maintained.

New rejections are added.

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

am

ALEXANDER MARKOFF